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A regular meeting of Upper Darby Township Council was held on Wednesday evening, July 16, 2014 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Meeting Room #202 of the Municipal Building, 100 Garrett Road, Upper Darby, Pennsylvania.

The meeting was called to order by Acting Council President Thomas P. Wagner with the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

Roll Call

Thomas P. Wagner, John Rankin, Ed Monaghan, Jacob A. Bierling Jr., Mary Ann Crawford, Marah Manners, Sekela Coles, Robert Gwin, Barbarann Keffer,

Donald P. Bonnett, excused
James Santora, excused

Present at the Meeting

Thomas N. Micozzie, Mayor
Thomas J. Judge Jr., Chief Administrative Officer
Scott Gottel, Esq., Solicitor
Kelly Sullivan, Esq., Solicitor
Richard G. Nolan, Chief Municipal Clerk

Approval of the Minutes

Mr. Wagner: A motion would be in order for the approval of the minutes for the Meeting of June 18, 2014.

Mr. Bierling: So moved.

Mrs. Crawford: Seconded.

Mr. Wagner: It has been moved and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed? The ayes have it.

Public Forum

Mr. Wagner: This is the time set aside for the public to speak. When you hear your name come forward to the podium, and state your name and address for the records. Comments are limited to five minutes.
Susan Parker, 2104 Greenhill Road
Zoning issues

Noreen McDermott, 331 Clearbrook Avenue
Zoning

Robert Choate, 248 Coverly Road
Police response

Eve Ogletree, 7197 Ruskin Lane
Maintenance, County community service

Alima Dolores J. Reardon, 406 N. Springfield Road
Planned Parenthood

Robert “Bob” Cassidy, 3854 Garrett Road
Replacing Planned Parenthood with adoption

Their comments are filed on tape.

Mr. Rankin: That concludes the speakers for this evening.

Mr. Wagner: Thank you, John. Ladies and gentlemen, before we proceed with this evening’s Agenda, I am going to turn the meeting over to our Mayor, the Honorable Thomas N. Micozzie.

*MAYOR MICOZZIE INTRODUCED JOSEPH SALVUCCI WHO GAVE A PRESENTATION ON THE UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP COUNCIL DISTRICT REALIGNMENT PLAN*

Mr. Wagner: Mayor, do you have anything else?

Mayor Micozzie: No, that’s it.

Mr. Wagner: We will now proceed to the Committee Reports. We’ll start with the Finance and Appropriations Committee, Mr. Wagner please.

**Committee Reports**

**Finance and Appropriations Committee**
Thomas P. Wagner, Chairman

**Resolution No. 42-14, the tax appeal of Robert W. Purfield, 311 Wayne Avenue, Upper Darby Township. Tax Folio No: 16-09-01380-00**
Mr. Wagner: I am going to ask the Solicitor to give us a brief on three Resolutions simultaneously. Those are Resolutions 42-14, 43-14 and 44-14.

Mr. Gottel: Thank you, Mr. Wagner. Resolution No. 42-14 is the tax assessment appeal of Robert W. Purfield, 311 Wayne Avenue, Upper Darby Township. Tax Folio No: 16-09-01380-00. The current assessment is for $111,000.00. The recommended Resolution is for a new assessment of $74,000.00.

**Resolution No. 43-14, the tax appeal of Sahar Youssef and Mohammed Samar Youssef, 113-A North Union Avenue, Upper Darby Township. Tax Folio No: 16-02-01274-00**

Mr. Gottel. Resolution No. 43-14 is the tax assessment appeal of Sahar Youssef and Mohammed Samar Youssef, 113-A North Union Avenue, Upper Darby Township. Tax Folio No: 16-02-01274-00. The current assessment is for $56,610.00. The recommended Resolution is for a new assessment of $36,630.00.

**Resolution No. 44-14, the tax appeal of Robert W. Purfield, 373 Edmonds Avenue, Upper Darby Township. Tax Folio No: 16-12-00268-00**

Mr. Gottel: Resolution No. 44-14 is the tax assessment appeal of Robert W. Purfield, 373 Edmonds Avenue, Upper Darby Township. Tax Folio No: 16-12-00268-00. The current assessment is for $73,640.00. The recommended Resolution is for a new assessment of $55,500.00.

Each of these appeals has been approved by the Upper Darby School District and each is recommended by the Solicitor's office.

Mr. Wagner: Thank you very much Mr. Solicitor. The Finance Committee has also reviewed this and we recommend it as well. Do I hear a motion for the adoption of Resolution No. 42-14, 43-14 and 44-14?

Mr. Bierling: Mr. Wagner, as a member of the Committee I would like to make a motion for the approval of the Resolutions.

Mr. Wagner: Do I hear a second?

Mrs. Crawford: Seconded.

Mr. Wagner: Moved and seconded. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. Opposed? The ayes have it. We will now move on to Ordinance No. 3016 and that will require a Public Hearing. Madam Solicitor, would you give us a brief on Ordinance No. 3016?
Public Hearing for Ordinance No. 3016 “An Ordinance of Upper Darby Township, Delaware County, Pennsylvania authorizing that part of certain unencumbered appropriations allocated by the annual budget for Upper Darby Township for the Year 2014 be transferred from the fund balance to other departments within the Township. All Ordinances and parts of Ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.”

Ms. Sullivan: Certainly. Ordinance No. 3016 is “An Ordinance of Upper Darby Township, Delaware County, Pennsylvania authorizing that part of certain unencumbered appropriations allocated by the annual budget for Upper Darby Township for the Year 2014 be transferred from the fund balance to other departments within the Township. All Ordinances and parts of Ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.”

Mr. Wagner: Thank you, Madam Solicitor. We are required by law to conduct a Public Hearing which we will do at this point. Does anyone wish to speak in favor of this Ordinance? Mr. Achtert, we know well who you are but will ask you to please state your name and address for the record.

Mr. Achtert: My name is Alfred Achtert, 7228 Radbourne Road. I rose to speak now because this Ordinance really does need to be passed. We have contractual obligations to pay the Fire Department people and without passing it, when we get a little further along in the year, we will not be able to pay them. So, the Ordinance does need to be passed. However, I want to question how we got here to this situation. My understanding is that we have 36 firefighters on the paid staff. We are required by contract with the firefighter’s union to have 14 on each platoon. If you divided 3 into 36, that gives you 12 members of a platoon. So, we are short 6 firefighters if nobody takes any time off.

Mr. Judge: May I, Mr. Achtert? You are forgetting the Captains. They count in that minimum platoon.

Mr. Achtert: OK, so we are short how many then?

Mr. Judge: If no one takes any time off, we’re not short any but if anybody takes off, then as you and I spoke today, we are clearly in need of hiring two new firefighters.

Mr. Achtert: We do need more. Maybe I’m a little wrong on the number there but we do need more. We should try to come up with an estimate as to how many people are routinely...well, when you look at the numbers, I’m sure that the firefighters get more than a week’s vacation, as least some of them. So, 36 whatever, that’s almost a full year if they only get a week’s vacation. You certainly need at least one more firefighter to cover just vacation times, perhaps two. You don’t want to totally eliminate the overtime needs because when somebody is on overtime, he isn’t getting extra money for his health benefits. He’s not getting extra time off or not accumulating extra vacation time or anything, things that having another person on there would do. So, somewhere there is a break-even point where it makes more sense economically to pay
the overtime rather than to hire somebody else and that would require an analysis of (a) how many people are likely to be out on average and how ----do you vary away from that average and whether the benefits that they are accruing. Now, the only benefit that I think they might be accruing would be a little bit on the pension benefits because that generally is based on their highest salary. But, the other benefits, you’re not accumulating anything by having somebody work overtime. So, you don’t want to totally eliminate overtime for that basis but we need to look at it. OK, there is one thing that jumps out at me on the presentation that was made at the Committee meetings and that’s the IOD, meaning injured on duty, hours. It is a little concerning to me that it more than doubled this year over last year for the months January through May which is what the presentation was. I’m wondering and I have no evidence one way or the other on it that hopefully somebody can look into it to see if this has some effect on it. We changed the shift procedures with the new contract where the new firefighters are now working a 24 hour shift, they’re off for 48 and then they come back to work another 24 hour shift. Are we getting more IOD claims because physically they are not really up to it?

Mr. Judge: Mr. Achtert, if I can answer that right now. I don’t believe that is the case. We are watching that but it is not the case because right now we have six IOD’s. Two of them have happened this year and the others carry over from before we went into the 24 hour period. So, I don’t think it is but you raise a valid point that has to be watched.

Mr. Achtert: I don’t know but the only thing I do know is that there have been complaints from interns who work long hours as well and they’re not happy with it. They feel like there is a lack of judgment.

Mayor Micozzie: Mr. Achtert, we don’t have interns.

Mr. Achtert: No, I mean physicians who are interns.

Mr. Judge: He’s making a comparison to another industry.

Mr. Achtert: He’s finished medical school and then he goes on these ridiculously long shifts and maybe falls asleep on the way driving home, makes bad judgments. In the Fire Department, you wouldn’t want someone to make a bad judgment because bad judgments in those kinds of situations can really have serious consequences.

Mayor Micozzie: Mr. Achtert, I spent 35 years in the Fire Department. I worked all three of those shifts. There are studies of the 8 hour shifts that have the same side of the issue. Doctors say it’s not good for a guy at 8 hours to go home, go to sleep, get up at 7 and work 5 days. Then, there’s another study that says 10 hour shifts have the same outcome. Then, there’s another study that says 12 hours and moving to 14 hours. Our uniqueness in Upper Darby is that we have the largest combination Fire Department in the state. We’re well recognized as a professional department, both volunteer and career. We only work Monday through Friday in this department. Most departments work 7 days. So, we have a 24 hour shift, you work with 48’s and off, the theory there is based on the arbitration argument, which we were not in favor
of, but they work it and the arbitrator felt it and the studies that were presented were basically equal whether safety on 12 or 24 hours--------- But, what happens is when you’re done the 24, remember that firefighters are going to sleep at night. It’s not a police officer job. I know the statistics, I worked it so when you’re up, you go back to sleep. Very rarely in this town do we have fires like we had on Croyden Road the other day and we watched it after the fire to look at the monitoring, to look at the rest period and if any of them took a beating on the first in, you bring other firefighters in to replace them and get them out of there and they can come in the next day or do a rotating shift. I think what happens here is that when you start looking at what happened here, police officers usually get injured or God forbid get killed in the line of duty one at a time. Firefighters, if you look, traditionally are two, four or six because they always go into a building in pairs. So, by us having four guys that got hurt after the fire when the ceiling fell down on an overhaul where statistically 80% of injuries happen after the fire, not before the fire. When that happened, those four guys plus the other two who went out, plus the fire marshall, it starts adding up. All the years we’ve been here, firefighters fight to get back. They love the job so much. These types of injuries, these strains, are the worst. It’s all upper body injuries and they can’t get back and we’ve never had this. So, that coupled with the arbitration and I think Tom did an excellent job. To bring up some good points, adding 2 firefighters is $200,000.00. That’s what we’re looking at so we wrestle with these budgets. You’re right, we only pay a firefighter to go to Captain 10%. So, that 10% we move a guy up and then we bring a guy up from the bottom who is cheaper. But, in this case with these injuries, if they weren’t there we wouldn’t even be having this discussion. The other thing that comes to mind is when you’re doing the budget, I think it’s 92% of our budget is right on the mark or we’re carrying a balance of good dollars. If we were able to move it from another department, we wouldn’t be having this discussion. But, fortunately, the way they did the Charter, we have to come in and explain ourselves and I believe in that process. But, the fund balance is your reserve account. It’s like if you have $10,000.00 in the bank and your roof is leaking, then you have to fix the roof. Our roof is leaking, we have to get it fixed, Tommy has done a great job with my staff to be able to identify where the avenues are. We’ve had long discussions over the last two weeks of who is next on the list. We always have a ready list and I think the jackets are over there being looked at right now. Is that correct?

Mr. Judge: We have two over there right now.

Mayor Micozze: So, I appreciate your recommendation.

Mr. Achttert: You know, the fund balance is obviously the first place that you look to find money when you need it for something like this, if we have it which is good because otherwise you’d be having to look at well maybe we had somebody quit in some department and we didn’t fill the job for a month so we could steal that salary out of that department and put it in there and you’re nickel and diming yourself and taking forever to find the money. I hope that we would keep an eye on that and if we don’t have enough guys, they are more likely to get injured is what I’m saying because they are trying harder. I’m not in the least suggesting that there is anybody malingering because the fire department and the police department are two jobs where the physical working conditions are horrendous. Most people don’t want to be a fire fighter or a
police officer because of it. But, with the people who get into it, there is a love of what they’re doing and the service that they’re providing. They want to get back like the guys who go into the military that actually want to go into combat.

Mr. Wagner: And the Mayor and Mr. Judge have both made it very clear that they are on very much on top of what you’re talking about.

Mr. Achtart: Well, hopefully we can figure out something and have maybe more firefighters next year and we won’t have to come back for a large overtime allocation.

Mr. Wagner: Hopefully not. Thank you, Sir. Does anyone else wish to speak in favor of Ordinance No. 3016? Seeing none, are there any speakers who wish to speak against Ordinance No. 3016? Seeing none, the Public Hearing portion of this meeting is closed.

Mr. Judge: Hold on, I think Bob Gwin had a question.

Mr. Wagner: I’m getting to that but he is a member of Council and doesn’t need to speak during the Public Hearing, correct? Are there any other speakers from the public? With that, are there any members of Council who have questions or who want to address the Ordinance?

Mr. Gwin: As part of my education trying to learn about how things are done with the budget. I wasn’t here when this budget was passed so I would like see if Tom can explain to the constituents here the Holiday fire pay and things like that. I see them as line items that are existing in the budget that have not been touched. I realize they’re probably conditions that need to be explained and would help us to better understand what’s happening.

Mr. Wagner: Mr. Judge, would you address this?

Mr. Judge: The items that have not been touched and are really 100% still in the budget are items that are back-ended pay in November and December when we've invoiced those items. The fire hydrants, we owe Aqua a fee and we don’t pay that on a monthly basis. When we get charged at the end of the year and I think it’s in October and also Holiday pay that we pay out for firefighter individuals who don’t use their holidays gets paid out in the first pay of December. The Chief of the Department could have made an intra-departmental transfer from those items to overtime now to cover August, September and probably October overtime but that would have made those items short when their invoices came due. Jim Smith, the Director of Finance and I, made a decision as we were watching the budget going forward saying that our overtime is tracking at a much higher rate than we normally should see it so therefore we need to have funds and that’s why we brought it to Council to say “rather than rob Peter to pay Paul in a line item budget for every department and then have to come to you in November when we’re going through the normal budget process and this item would have been confused with the normal Budget Hearings going forward we said let’s bite the bullet and bring it to Council right now.” Will some of those items as go forward have a surplus and someone will come back to me and
say “you know the $315,000.00 you asked to make a fund balance, you really didn’t need to do that, you only needed to take $285,000.00. And, you know what? They’re going to be right because right now we’re taking our best guess. Will we be able to save some money in the fire department down the road and start crunching….we’re already trying to crunch some of the other items to show that we’re not going over budget to get to a number that is reasonable. But, right now, based upon everything, anticipating what’s going on since we started this we’ve had another fire fighter go out on IOD. Now, I don’t anticipate that to be a major down time but he’s out 13 days already. So, taking our best guess we thought it was $315,000.00. I clearly understand that $315,000.00 is going to be wrong in December when this whole process is over, somebody is going to say “you didn’t need $315,000.00, you only needed $285,000.00.” I just hope that I don’t have to come to you and say “we didn’t need $315,000.00, we need $350,000.00. That’s my job between now and then, to make sure that the department doesn’t overspend.

Mr. Gwin: So, just to further elaborate. Some of that $315,000.00 may be related to overtime, some of it may be related to regular time, some of it is going to be regarding pension?

Mr. Judge: Yes, pension, training, different things. All of those other things that are now on the Chief of the department, the Director of Finance and myself to make sure that we’re not overstepping our bounds on a financial aspect on any other line item within that fire department that we can normally not go forward with and overspend.

Mayor Micozzi: I think it’s important to say that any residual funds automatically become the fund balance for next year. It rolls back to where we took it from.

Mr. Wagner: And equally important to mention that this doesn’t put us over budget. It is simply a transfer of funds.

Mr. Judge: Exactly.

Mr. Wagner: Mr. Gwin, does that answer your question?

Mr. Gwin: Yes, thank you.

Mr. Wagner: Does any other member of Council have any questions or comments regarding this Ordinance before we vote on it?

Ms. Keffer: I do. How much money do we have in the balance fund right now?

Mr. Judge: In the fund balance, I don’t know the exact number but we have close to $5.8 million dollars. We have a fund balance policy that we try to keep somewhere between 5 and 10% of our budget as the fund balance, as our “rainy day fund”, which is our fund balance. So, right now, we are at about 7%. I’ll get you the exact number.
Cont’d

Mr. Wagner: Ms. Keffer, anything further?

Ms. Keffer: No.

Mr. Wagner: Does any other member of Council have any questions or comments? Hearing none, do I hear a motion for the approval of Ordinance No. 3016?

Mr. Bierling: I’d like to make a motion for the adoption of Ordinance No. 3016.

Mrs. Crawford: Seconded.

Mr. Wagner: Moved and seconded. Mr. Secretary, please take a roll call vote.

In favor of  
Ordinance No. 3016  

Opposed to  
Ordinance No. 3016  

Excused  
Abstained

Bonnett
Wagner
Rankin
Monaghan
Bierling
Crawford
Manners

Santora
Coles
Gwin
Keffer

9 0 2

Mr. Rankin: That gives nine in favor, none opposed and two excused.

Mr. Wagner: Thank you, Mr. Rankin. The Ordinance has been approved. The next Committee is the Public Safety Committee, Mr. Rankin, please.

Public Safety Committee
John B. Rankin, Chairman

Resolution No. 45-14, a Resolution to install two stop signs at the “Y” intersection at Windermere Avenue and Derwyn Road
Mr. Rankin: Thank you, Mr. Wagner. I will ask the Solicitor to give a brief on Resolution No. 45-14.

Ms. Sullivan: Certainly. Resolution No. 45-14 is a Resolution to install two stop signs at the “Y” intersection at Windermere Avenue and Derwyn Road

Mr. Rankin: Thank you. I move for the adoption of Resolution No. 45-14.

Mrs. Crawford: Seconded.

Mr. Wagner: Moved and seconded. All those in favor, signify by saying aye. Opposed? The ayes have it. Madam Solicitor, any further business this evening?

Madam Solicitor: No further business.

**Adjournment**

Mr. Wagner: A motion would be in order for adjournment.

Mr. Bierling: So moved.

Mrs. Crawford: Seconded.

Mr. Wagner: Moved and duly seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed? The ayes have it. This meeting stands adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard G. Nolan
Chief Municipal Clerk
UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP
RESOLUTION NO. 42-14

In Re: Appeal Of: Robert W. Purfield

Owner: Robert W. Purfield

Property Address: 311 Wayne Avenue
Upper Darby Township, PA 19082
Tax Folio No.: 16-09-01380-00

WHEREAS, this matter has been in litigation with an Appeal filed awaiting decision in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County under Docket No.13-12270;

WHEREAS, a proposed settlement agreement has been reached in this matter fixing the following tax assessment upon the above-named property;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$74,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHEREAS, the Board of School Directors of the Upper Darby School District has approved the settlement of the above-listed tax assessment, and;

WHEREAS, the Solicitor recommends this settlement.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the above tax assessment be approved and the Solicitor is hereby authorized and directed to executed a Stipulation in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County agreeing to such assessed value for the property.

RESOLVED, this 16th day of July, 2014.

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP

BY: Thomas P. Wagner
Acting President of Council
Resolution No. 42-14 above is hereby approved this 16th day of July, 2014.

BY:

Thomas N. Micozzie, Mayor

ATTEST:

Thomhas J. Judge, Jr.,
Chief Administrative Officer
UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP  
RESOLUTION NO. 43-14  

In Re: Appeal Of: Sahar Youssef and Mohammed Samar Youssef  

Owner: Sahar Youssef and Mohammed Samar Youssef  

Property Address: 113-A North Union Avenue  
Upper Darby Township, PA 19082  
Tax Folio No.: 16-02-01274-00  

WHEREAS, this matter has been in litigation with an Appeal filed awaiting decision in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County under Docket No.13-11943;  

WHEREAS, a proposed settlement agreement has been reached in this matter fixing the following tax assessment upon the above-named property;  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$36,630.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHEREAS, the Board of School Directors of the Upper Darby School District has approved the settlement of the above-listed tax assessment, and;  

WHEREAS, the Solicitor recommends this settlement.  

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the above tax assessment be approved and the Solicitor is hereby authorized and directed to executed a Stipulation in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County agreeing to such assessed value for the property.  

RESOLVED, this 16th day of July, 2014.  

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP  
BY: Thomas P. Wagner  
Acting President of Council
Resolution No. 43-14 above is hereby approved this 16th day of July, 2014.

BY: 
Thomas N. Micozzi, Mayor

ATTEST: 
Thomas J. Judge, Jr.,
Chief Administrative Officer
UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP
RESOLUTION NO. 44-14

In Re: Appeal Of: Robert W. Purfield
Owner: Robert W. Purfield
Property Address: 373 Edmonds Avenue
Upper Darby Township, PA 19082
Tax Folio No.: 16-12-00268-00

WHEREAS, this matter has been in litigation with an Appeal filed awaiting decision in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County under Docket No.13-12259;

WHEREAS, a proposed settlement agreement has been reached in this matter fixing the following tax assessment upon the above-named property:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$55,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHEREAS, the Board of School Directors of the Upper Darby School District has approved the settlement of the above-listed tax assessment, and;

WHEREAS, the Solicitor recommends this settlement.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the above tax assessment be approved and the Solicitor is hereby authorized and directed to executed a Stipulation in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County agreeing to such assessed value for the property.

RESOLVED, this 16th day of July, 2014.

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP

BY: Thomas P. Wagner
Acting President of Council
Resolution No. 44-14 above is hereby approved this 16th day of July, 2014.

BY:

Thomas N. Micocze, Mayor

ATTEST:

Thomas J. Judge, Jr.,
Chief Administrative Officer
UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP
ORDINANCE NO. 3016

"AN ORDINANCE OF UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP, DELAWARE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, AUTHORIZING THAT PART OF CERTAIN UNENCUMBERED APPROPRIATIONS ALLOCATED BY THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP FOR THE YEAR 2014 BE TRANSFERRED FROM FUND BALANCE TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE TOWNSHIP. ALL ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES INCONSISTENT HEREWITH ARE HEREBY REPEALED."

Upper Darby Township hereby ordains:

SECTION 1. That, since pursuant to the provisions of Section 909D of the Home Rule Charter of Upper Darby Township, Delaware County, Pennsylvania, the Mayor has requested, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof, that parts of certain unencumbered appropriations allocated by the Annual Budget of Upper Darby Township for the year 2014 should be transferred from fund balance, to other departments within the Township, the following amounts shall be transferred as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Balance</th>
<th>Appropriations Transferred From</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 315,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Appropriations Transferred To</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>$ 315,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $ 315,000.00

Ordinance No. 3016

SECTION 2. All Ordinances and parts of Ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

ENACTED and ORDAINED this 16th day of July 2014.

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP

THOMAS P. WAGNER

ACTING PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL
Ordinance No. 3016 above is hereby approved this 16th day of July 2014

THOMAS N. MICOZZI, MAYOR

ATTEST:

THOMAS J. JUDGE, JR.
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
RESOLUTION NO. 45-14

WHEREAS, ORDINANCE NO. 1156 OF UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP PROVIDES THAT TRAFFIC SHALL COME TO A STOP BEFORE ENTERING OR CROSSING CERTAIN STREETS AND HIGHWAYS IN THE TOWNSHIP AND IMPOSES PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT ORDINANCE NO. 1156 IS HEREBY AMENDED BY ADDING TO SECTION 1 THE FOLLOWING:

INSTALLING 2 STOP SIGNS AT THE FOLLOWING LOCATION:

ON THE 300 BLOCK OF DERWYN ROAD AND WINDERMERE AVENUE AT THE “Y” INTERSECTION

RESOLVED, THIS 16TH DAY OF JULY, A.D., 2014.

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP

THOMAS P. WAGNER
ACTING PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL

ATTEST:
JOHN B. RANKIN JR.
SECRETARY OF COUNCIL

THOMAS N. MICCOZZIE, MAYOR

ATTEST:
THOMAS J. JUDGE, JR.,
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER